G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 269/189

Serial Nos. 1-32

191 .

(~~Mines & Geols~~ L. R.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 1907

SUBJECT.

Ingram clair's claim for minerals on Thevu lands

Countermarked

Indexed

Mineral Rights

Section
File No
Serial No

Applications
will be placed
before the Dewan
whenever
there
11/12/97

Dewan
13-12-97

Rec'd
3/1/98 D.R.S.
Draft Reply
3/1/98.

Please see Dewans
Order on 3275-87
1045
dated 26.9.1897
" Secy Please let me
know the replies as
they come."
9/28/9/97

VR
5/4/98

The discrepancies
are very few. Result
to be known could
clear them - At
the same time please
send this file to
other Deputy Secretary
dept who will collect
the replies & the Dewan

5/4/98

Draft for
Draft Comm.
Comm. VR 5/4/98

Draft Subs
5/4

Draft Suptt Initials
VR 5/4/98

File forwarded to
Asstt Secy with the Dewan
VR 5/4/98

Submitted
Secretary

Jamandas Vs Govt
re Mineral rights

With reference to the
file relating to the
rights of the Superintendent, a memo
re how the case stands
has been recently submitted —

In that memo, the
point whether the
note written by the
Dewan on 16th July
was, as directed, submitted to the 2nd Counsellor was in doubt.

With the clue
kindly given by the
Secretary from the
the papers referred to
in the slip attached
thereto, regarding lists
of Claims preferred
by Jamandas Mathur

in State have now been traced. They had been sent to D.H.O. in continuation - and have been obtained back. It is R. File no. 100 of 1897. 98 put up with this -

In this file it will be found 2nd Councillor's Order of 11.12.97 in the following effect —

"Mineral Rights"

All these papers will be placed before the Dewan who wanted them."

Order of 26.9.97 no. 3275-87 passed by the Dewan - & seen by the 2nd Councillor

Dewans & 2nd Councillor's Note of 25.9.97 in the reference section.

Miss Austey's paper
obtained from the
Post. advocate are
also put up with this -
Miss Austey's Claim
appears. Have been
disposed of by the Dean
Supdt. With what
result remains to
be ascertained &
the papers sent to
him will be got
back

note

At this stage came
the cover sent by
the secretary contain
ing Col. Grants' de
of 21. 2. 99 with
Depys &c -
& file no 100 alread
alluded to - Contains
the papers sent by
Col. Grant - They will

be returned herein
with the Secretary's
DO dy 21.2.99.

Turning back to
the bundle containing
Miss Anstey's papers -

Please see Dewan's
letter to the 2nd Councillor
dy 24.8.97 on
serial no: 18. a
the 2d. Councillor's
reply, both dated
24th. Augt. 1897.
The Dewan alludes
to the Draft Regn
file - (This?
Think is the
Council file enter
Dewan's note dy
16. July 1897).

On

a reference to the Register of papers submitted to 2d Councillor, it is found that on 24th July 1897 the following papers etc were submitted to 2d Councillor along with other papers —

Regr no.	—	subject as copied out in the Regr —
R. 374	Supdt Inam Settlts	"Proper interpretation of certain terms occurring in the Grants made to holders of certain Inam Villages" —

Note — This will be found in R. 7 no: 100 of 1897-98. submitted herewith — It is Inam supdts no: 12 dated 19th July 1897

Lepr no -

Subject as entered in the Subn Regr -

"

R.7 (with papers relating to the claim of Inamdars & Jodidars to a right to minerals in their Inam & Jodi villages) with Dewan's note

As already submitted the Superintendent file bears no file number - In the Subn Regr also no file no: is given

It is now clear — that the file contains Dewan's note of 16.7.97 ~~[illegible]~~ was submitted to 2d Councillor on 24th July 1897.

2 That the file together with the Inam Register

and file no: 100/1897-98
and the Land Rev
Code file were
all together with 2nd Councillor

3 and that Order
no: 3275-87 &
25th: September 1897
prepared & passed
in D.H.O. and
seen by the 2nd:
Councillor was
the next step
taken after
the & Date (16.7.97
of Dewani's note
re- Survey
Jahagirs - Mineral
rights
The Deed from
Lot no: 85 & 16.4.98

1897-98

pending orders

The D.F.O. has lent ⊗ putting with this file with the Surveyor Jahagirdar & Miss Austey's file (without no) put up another D.F.O. file relating to lands under wells & tanks and sent them to office after retaining for the Off Dewan the L.R. Code file - Miss Austey's papers had to be sent to the Govt - A.D.C. - In the absence of proper refs I could not say definitely - whether Dewan's note of 16.7.97 was or was not subs-to-2nd Conc.r - I beg to submit that it is now clear that letters were duly subd & action taken.

4/22/98

⊗ It was submitted to Secretary on 17-12-97 and rec.d back only on 5-4-98. The H.Q. Dr. Off.r was handling the same in the interim. The date of obtaining the files for the Off.g Dewan was 14-2-98.

⁂ This was linked with others long before Dewan's orders of 16-7-97 and continued to be with them all along & not added to since).

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

21/2/99.

My dear Hayavadanarao

I send you for
kenarak and return
such papers as I have
dated in his portfolio
I Examiner report.
I have seen
any objections
effect. The Chief
Secretary is the Executive
No Council (Chairman)
This is a Crown case
Can convey Mr
Rights and I think
it desirable to

G.P. No 3275 & 27.9.97.
Supts No 308 30.9.97. in reply
Secys No 9693 & 7.4.98 & Supt
R 3170 & 7.4.98 & Supt
Supts No 858 & 16.4.98 in reply

Wednesday
I had come recently
- Under of the
Commissioner
& Chief Secretary
Please return
Education Code
& Co & Secretary
Let Grants be
Mysore Code Now
me. Please return
& Next Mysore
afford & Chief
Examiner
Let Grants
Grant Code 1897
new Fee Rules

1 Thursday
been sent to Mr Kirkham Mysore as desired by
the Diwan - Please make a note of it - Col
Grants papers may be returned to him
with my D.O. Rly - 22-2-99

understand that the Devans Role required to. I am afraid I am not able to give you much assistance in your doubts — as for any trouble to myself I am only too glad to do anything at any time which lies in my power.

Yours very sincere

U. S. Grant

Called to Rd. Off. in connection with the file sent this morning - to be added to Mr. Hall's question whether any legislation was required or not, & both were called for on 25th Oct 26 All the lists previously were first taken by Mr. Hall & then to the Museum. No orders were passed on them & no further action was taken -

Rd & Pm.
22.2.99.

22/2/99.

I return this file & Mrs Austey's file retaining only the Surgeon file

---

This file is very badly got up - It has no table of Contents -
And the Office Precis is very imperfect

The point now requiring attention is this
By order of 26 Sept 97 I started an enquiry to ascertain what Inamdars had claimed as a right to minerals and how

these claims have been disposed of -

On 30 Sept 97 Col. Grant sent a list of such claims - 23 in all - with the remarks they were all pending. On 16 April 98 he explained discrepancies between his list & those received from Dep. Comrs. regarding 4 other Cases - Total 27 claims

What I now want to know is -

i ..... Have all Dep Comrs reported in reply to Enquiry of 26 Sept 97 ?

ii ..... Has Inam Supt disposed of all claims ?

iii ..... I want a Complete list of all claims filed, under Proviso (3) of S. 38 of the Land Rev. Code, and particulars as to their disposal - If any of them is pending, why ? and when is it likely to be disposed -

---

Ask CR grant to send us the above information together with a Copy of the decision passed by him

iv. I shd like to get this information & to enable me to decide the further action req'd by Govt -

25-2-99

Offer

Please get the information called for as quickly as possible

25/2/99

G. K.

Drafted
25/2

Submitted - to secretary

The list submitted shows that there are some more cases pending decision

VL
18/3/98

[illegible] to mark that the [illegible] of the Cases mentioned for hearing before him may be Comm. in due Course and request that the steps may be taken to expedite the finalisation of the Cases which are

DC
26-3-98

Please note I want daily

Vote Continued & Submitted

112

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

Section
File No
Serial No

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Inamdars claim to the right to minerals in their Inam villages

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1076 dated 15th March 1898

from the Deputy Commissioner Dharwar

In Govt. Progs. No. 3275-87 R. 1045 dated 26th September 1897, the Inam Supdt. was requested to forward for the information of Govt., a Statement showing the claims to minerals made by Inamdars within the 5 years prescribed in Sec: 38 of the L. R. Code, & the Asst. Commissioners of Talukas Districts were also requested to forward similar Statements

ments, showing the No. of claims preferred in their Dt. the No. forwarded for disposal to the Inam Supt. and the No. if any still pending before them

2. As called for in the above Progs. the Inam Supt. submits a Statement* which shows that claims to mineral rights were preferred under Section 38 of the L. R. Code in 4 villages in the Seringapatam Taluk in 3 villages in the Malavalli Taluk and 5 villages in the Nanjungud Taluk. The terms of the Sanads relied on are 'Siddhi Nikshepa'. The claims in question have been preferred in March 1894 and no decision has been passed. The Statement also shows that in the Bowringpet Closepet Kolar, Kunigal, & Anekal Taluks

* Vide Letter No. 30 dated 30th Sep 97.

Talukas, such claims have been preferred in 7 villages between 27th May/93 & 6th July 1895 (and no decisions have been passed in any case. In the Seringapatam and Nanjungud Talukas, claims to mineral rights have been preferred in 2 Inam villages and the papers on the subject are reported to have been submitted to Govt for orders (Vide Inam Supdt's letter No 65 dated 15th December 1894).

In the Bowringpet Taluk such claims have also been preferred in 2 Inam villages and the papers relating to the same are ~~reported to have been sent to~~ before Govt for ~~orders~~ (Vide Inam Supdt's do No 5 dated 7th July 1897).

The total No of claims preferred by the Inamdars are in 23 Atli villages & 12 Dasti villages, and no decisions have

Note. This has been disposed of
Please see the Reference section.
Cancl No 18 28-9-97 to Supdt Inam Settlements.

~~Please see relevant Reference entries.~~

* This letter is cited in para 2 of the Inam Supdts No 12/1 & 19-7-97. It is probably in connection with Miss Anstey's application, the file connected with which was sent to the Mysore Secretariat on 15/2/98.

Are you sure that it has not come back from Secretariat

-garding the said claims.

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District reports† that claims to mineral rights were preferred in his Dt., by the Inamdars of the marginally noted Inam villages and that the applications have been forwarded to the Inam Supdt. for disposal.

† Vide DC's letter No. 1140 dy 26/2/98

Taluk	Village
Anekal	Giddenahalli (pdr.)
	Adur Kaigungatta
	Lannaikanhalli Kaigungatta.
Closepet.	Vurgahalli
	Sarvamangy
Kankanhalli	Kaggalipur.

(Note - This is not included in the list recd from the Inam Supdt.)

4. The Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, in submitting a Statement (A) shewing the claims preferred by the Inamdars of the marginally noted villages, states that in the case of Thambarahalli, the Sanad granted by Dewan Purndiya was not produced, that the other documents relied upon were considered invalid and that the

× Vide letter No. 1601/468 dy 13/11/97.

Taluk.	Village
Bowringpet	Tookalee
do	Kaggunahalli & Kottapalium
do	Thambarahalli

(Note - This is not included in the Statement recd from the Inam Supdt.)

Forwarded the Thos Inam Supdt. on 21-11-94.
21/23

to the Inam Supdt.

5 The Deputy Commissr. of Tumkur, Hassan, Kadur, Shimoga and Chitaldrug report that no claims for mineral rights have been received from Inamdars in their Districts, under Section 38 of the L.R. Code

6. The Deputy Commissr. Mysore Dist. reports that applications presented to the marginally noted Inamdars were forwarded to the Inam Supdt. on the dates specified against each. and that the application of Mr. B. Gopalingar Inamdar of Harihara in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, could not be found in his office.

7. The following conditions were placed upon

× Vide letters nos 344 dt 30/9/97, × 387 dt 12/10/97, × 264 dt 30/9/97, + 432/243 dt 30/9/97 & * 360/340 dt 12/10/97 respectively.

19/21 January 1898

× Application from Amildar Rama Rao, Jahagirdar of Kaveneer &c. villages in the Nanjungud Taluk

2. Ditto - from Rama Rao Jahagirdar of Madadevapura &c. villages in the Seringapatam Taluk &c

+ Vide letter No. 1076 dt 15/3/98.

dated 11th Dec 1894.

nads, by the late Indian
Supdt. Colonel Cole:-

Nidhi — mines
Nikshepa — = Hidden Treasure
jala — = water
Pāshāna — = Stone
Akshina — = crops
Agamī — = Anything derived
hereafter
Siddha — = What is existing
Sadhya — = What may accrue.

Submitted for orders.

8.
28/3/98

Mr Supdt's attention
be invited to the
discrepancies noticed
above with a request
that a Complete list
be prepared in con-
sultation with
the Deputy Commr
& forwarded
to Govt for orders

28/3/98

Instead of a long argumentative note, a statement showing discrepancies would have been useful - Let some
[illegible]

Statement shewing the discrepancies in the Inam Supdts' Statement & the Deputy Commissioners Statements of the claims preferred by Inamdars to mineral rights in their villages.

Claims made by Inamdars not included in the Statement Submitted by the Inam Supdt but included in the Dy. Comrs Statements.		Claims included in the Inam Supdts' Statement but not in those of Deputy Commissioners		Remarks
District & Taluk	Name of Village	District & Taluk	Name of Village	
1) Kankanhalli Taluk.	Kaggalipur			
2) Bowringpet Taluk	Thambarahalli	(3) Kolar Taluk	Holali Agrahara Jodi	
(4)	Seringapatam Nanjungud	Pattasomanahalli Thumbanerlu		This has been disposed of Please see the Reference Section Camp & dated 28-9-97 &c Supdt. of Inams Settlement.

As per orders of the Secretary.

a copy of

Secretary's orders on a Separate Slip — dy 5.4.98.

"The discrepancies are very few. Write to the Inam Superintendent about them".

12. Accordingly, a copy of the Statement was sent to the Inam Supt., with a request to explain the discrepancies in Communication with the Dy: Commrs Concerned, and to submit a revised Statement.

No 9693 dy 7.4.98
R. 3170
P. no. 14 in Sec: I
of R. 7 100-97-98.

13. With his docket No 85* dy 16th April 1898, the Inam Supt: submitted a revised Statement explaining as follows: —

No (1) in the Statement — Claim barred by limitation, as it was preferred only on the 26th Feb: 1898.

No (2) - —

The Case was sent by the Dy Commr on the 19th Nov: 1897. Hence it was

* P. no. 15 in Section I

not entered in the list of 30th September 1897.

Nº(3) - Claim rejected by the Dy Commr. Proceedings have been called for from the Dy Commr, on the complaint of the Jadidars —

Nº(4). Finally disposed of by Govt order Camp Nº 1 of 28.9.97 —

P. no 8 in Section II of R. 7100 of 97-98.

14. The papers having been submitted to the Dewan, he passed the following order.

" The point now requiring attention is this. By order of 26th Sept 97, I started an enquiry to ascertain what Inamdars had claimed a right to minerals and how those claims have been disposed of — On 30th Sept 97 Col. Grant sent a list of such claims - 23 in all — with the remark they were all pending. On 16th April 98 he explained discrepancies between his

Statement and those received from Dy Commrs regarding 4 other Cases. Total 27 claims. What I now want to know is —

(i). Have all Deputy Commrs reported in reply to enquiry of 26th Sept 97?

(ii). Has Guam Superintendent disposed of all claims?

(iii). I want a complete list of all claims filed under Proviso (3) of S. 38 of the Land Rev: Code, and particulars as to their disposal. If any of them is pending, why? and when is it likely to be disposed of?

Ask Col: Grant to send us the above information together with a Copy of the Decision passed by him.

(iv). I should like to get this information early to enable me to decide the further action required by Govt.

B. 23.2.99.

15. Secretary's order — 8 25·2·99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Office.

Please get the information called for as quickly as possible — ——

Reply to 1st query — yes.

ii & iii queries. —— .

16. In reply to this office No 6020 ⊗ R 7/1097 8 28th Feb: 1899, the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore Submits a complete list of all claims to minerals filed by Inamdars under Proviso (3) of Sec: 38 of the Land Rev: Code, with particulars as to their disposal and copies of decisions —

⊗ P. no. 16 in Section I

(17)

⊗ No 66 8 8 14·3·99.

Out of 24 claims preferred, 12 have been disposed of, claims in 8 cases having been accepted, and in 4 cases disallowed —.

Of the remaining 12 undisposed of claims, Dy Commr's opinion has been called for in 7 cases, as the

opinion was not recorded by that officer originally; one case has been posted for hearing on the 24th March 1899, & the remaining ~~two~~ four are posted for hearing on the 29th March 1899—

Subr. to Dewan

26/3/99.

On the Inam Superintendents' Docket above referred to, the Dewan recorded the following order:—26.3.99.

"Acknowledge and ask that the particulars of the disposal of the Cases now posted for hearing before him may be Comd. in due Course and request that Steps may be taken to expedite the disposal of the Cases which are under report by D.C of Tuticorin".

"Office.

Please note I want

complete information as quickly as possible.

According to the information furnished by the Supdt: of Inam Settlements, the particulars of the disposal of the several claims to mineral rights are as shewn below:

2-4

Inamdar.	Taluk.	Village in respect of which claim is preferred.	How disposed of.
		Bangalore distt:	
1. Mr. B. Ramaswamiengar. vide decision paper no (27/b)	Anekal	1. Guddenahalli 2. Adur 3. Swamanaikanpura.	No right to minerals has been established in regard to any of the 3 villages —
2. " N. Narasimhiengar — vide decision paper no (27/a)	Closepet.	4. Coragohalli	It has been decided that the Inamdar is entitled to minerals
		Kolar distrct —	
3. Ranganayakamma	Bowringapet Tk.	1. Jookal.	Claim admitted. vide paper no (17/b)
4. Kadiappa —		2. Kottapalyem 3. Kaggunhalli.	Claim disallowed. vide paper no (17/d)
5. Nagappa —		4. Jamberahalli —	Claimant has not established his right to minerals in the village. (vide paper no. 17/e)
6. Lingambhatta & other Vaithiars.	Kolar Tk.	5. Kollale Agrahar —	The documents relied on & produced do not disclose anything regarding the mineral rights having been vested in the Inamdars.
6½. Rhinagappen (vide paper no. 17/f)	Bowringpet	6. Vadarandahalli	

7. B. Ramaswami Iyengar —	Kunigal.	Hadavanahalli		No express grant to mineral rights having ever been made by Govt to the applicant or any one else as regards this village, the right to the same still vests in Govt.

vide decision paper no (27/a)

Mysore District.

8. Siddaraje urs —	Nanjangude Seringapatam.	1. Jumbanelé 2. Pattasimanahally		The Inamdar is declared to be entitled to mineral rights. (vide paper no. 17/a)
9. Musalub Rama Rao —	Nanjangude.	3. Kanémur 4. Kallalli 5. Maduv. 6. Hatéval. 7. Mandahalli.		Claim admitted. (vide paper no. 17/g)
10. Jahagirdar Rama Rao	Malavalli Seringapatam	8. Mahadevapur or Madenahalli. 9. Mangowdanhalli 10. Mensi Kyatenhalli 11. Seshalli 12. Hunsihalli. 13. Dodmulgod 14. Virapur. 15. Hejjur.		Claims to mineral rights established in all the 8 villages. vide decision paper no (29/a)
11. Mr. B. Gopalaiya	Krishnarajpete	Haricharapura		Sanad distinctly confers mineral rights. Claim admitted. vide decision paper no (28/a)

No. 308 6.10.99

The Superintendent D/L

Loan Settlements States that no more claims to mineral rights are pending disposal in his office —
Submitted Secretary
N
30/10/99

Land Tenure O

28/3/00

Submitted
Secretary —
In the above list one more case has been added — The decision in the case was rec'd. in April last (vide page serial No 32 in file below) The Claim was preferred by the Mandan in 1893 & was found to be within the time allowed by Law.
N
16/8/00

Resumed Land Tenure
O.
20/5/00

(1)

Section Rev. of the Govt of
File No
Serial No Mysore

Order No. 3275-87 M.
R1045 dated,
Bangalore, 26th September
26
1897.

The Govern-
ment have under
consideration a
question relating to
the best ~~method~~ mode
of dealing with the
rights to minerals
of certain Inamdars
who failed to prefer
their claims within
the five years pres-
-cribed in Section 38
of the Land Revenue

Please let me know
Submit
to Rev Comm

24/2/97

Rev.
Issue above & then
Collect & 2d Cs for
Remsal
26/9/97
Seen
MMB
Always 3/10/97

Code - For the proper determination of this question the Govern- ment desire to have complete information regarding all claims preferred under the above mentioned section, whether the same have already been finally decided or not The Superin- tendent of Inam Settlement is accord- ingly requested to forward for the in- formation of Govern- ment a statement in the accompanying form of all such claims -

2. The Deputy Commissioner of every District will report forthwith the number of claims under the section preferred in the District and the number forwarded for disposal to the Inam Superintendent and the number if any still pending before the Deputy Commissioner

S. Canniva. 26/9/17

Written to Dewan's dictation.

The Supdt of Inam Settlt.
" D/ Comrs of Distts

Village

Date of grant and the authority making it.	Terms of the grant relied upon as conveying the mineral rights claimed.	Date of presentation of claim to the D[illegible]	Date and nature of decision if any has already been passed	Remarks

Skeleton form

Rec
4.10.97

Letter 1897.

From The Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dist

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depte

Dated 30th September
Despatched 2nd October 97.
Received
General No. 264 1897-8.
No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to Government Order No 3275-87. R. 1046. Dated 26th instant, has the honor to state that under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code, no claims were preferred for the rights to minerals by any Inamdars, none were forwarded to the Inam Superintendent and that no claims are pending disposal.

B Ramachandra
for Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dt

Submitted for orders.
8/10/97

Section
File No
Serial No 1

No. 2.

Deleted pr No 264 of 30/9/1897
from the Deputy Commr Kadur
to the Secretary to Govt. Genl Revenue
Depts.
=

No 30
1

From The Inam Supdt's Office
Bangalore d 30th Septr 1897
30

From the Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To,
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir

I have the honor, in reply to Government Proceedings No. 3,275 dated 24th Instant, to submit a Statement (as per prescribed form) shewing the claims preferred by the Inamdars to Mineral rights in their respective Villages.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant

Supdt of Inam Settlements

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 2416
No. 4496
1896 OCT 1897
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 30th
Despatched 30th } September
Received

No. 30 of 1897—98

Enclosure No. 1

Submits a statement showing the claims preferred by the Inamdars to mineral rights

Rec'd 1/10/97 Ans 7/10/97

Submitted for orders.

6/10/97 8/10/97

Vanjan & paid =	8. Kanenoor Sarvamanium	Granted by late His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore dated 14th October 1818	Terms Kidhimuck Shesa	Dated 13th march 1894.	No decision has been passed	Hobli : Dod	
	9 Kallahalli Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	1 —	
	10 Maddur Sarvamanium	Do	Do		Do	1 —	
	11 Hattavalu Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	1 —	
	12. Mandenu halli Sarvamanium	Do —	Do	Do	Do	1 —	
Bowring = pett.	13 Jookal Sarvamanium	Granted by His Highness the late Maharaja of Mysore 87 in 1816.	Do	Dated 6th July 1895	Do	1 —	
Closepet.	14 Ooraga halli Sarvamanium	Do Dated 12th October 1825	Do	Dated 27th may 1893	Do	1 —	
Kolar	15 Halali agrar Jodi	Unknown	All the items in the village.	Dated 4th November 1893	No claim has been admitted by the Deputy Commr	1 —	
Kunigal	16 Hadina hally Jodi	Granted by His Highness the late Maharajah of Mysore 87 9 march 1826.	Unknown	Dated 19 February 1894.	No decision has been passed	1 —	
Tumkal	17 Guddenhalli Jodi	Granted by Dewan Poornaiah 87 20 October 1810	Do	Dated 1st march 1894	Do	1 —	
	18 Adoor Kaveripatta	Granted by Bangalore Foundar 87 22 Nov 1830	Do	Dated 1st march 1894	Do	1 —	
	19 Bommanahalli pura Kaveripatta	Granted by Amildar 87 1830-31 —	Do	Do	Do	1 —	
Peeranga tatah	20 Buttasomana halli Sarvamanium	Granted by His late Highness the Maharajah of Mysore 87 19 October 1829 —	Do	The whole file has been sent to Government Door orders vide this office Docket No 55 87 15 Dec 1894.	Rejected	1 —	
Vanjangud	21 Tumbavadi Sarvamanium	Do 87 14 Septr 1830	Do to Govt				
Bowringpett	22 Kaggalahalli Jodi 23. Kottapalya Jodi	The whole file has been sent vide this Office Docket No 5 87 7 July 1897			Total	Hobli 23 -	

Main Suptts Office
Bangalore 87 30th Septr 1897
30

J Power
Supdt of Main Settls

Seal no. IV.

32

List showing the number of cases regarding the claims preferred to mineral rights from the Inamdars up to 30th September 1897. Prepared as per Government Proceedings No. 3275, dated 27. September 1897.

Taluk.	Villages	Date of grant and the authority making	Terms of the grant relied upon as conveying the mineral right claimed.	Date of presentation of claim to the Deputy Commissioner.	Date and nature of decision if any has already been passed.	Remarks.
Veeranga-patam	1 Maha devapura or Madanahalli Sarvamanium	Granted by late His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore dated 14th October 1818.	Terms "Nidhineeda Shepa"	28th March 1894.	No decision has been passed	Asli. Dakl. 3
	2 Menasi Kyathanahalli Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	Asli. Da
	3 Maragowdanahalli Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	Asli
	4 Sihalli Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	Asli. Da
	5 Dodda Inolagodu Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	1 — 1
	6 Veerarajapura Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	1 — 1
	7 Heggura Sarvamanium	Do	Do	Do	Do	1 — 2
	11	13	11		11	10

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

189 7 - 98

2414
R 1493
OCT. 97
WAN'S OFFICE.

3

Section
File No
Serial No

From The Dy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated

Despatched 30th September 1897

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 432

No. 243

With reference to Government
Order No. 3275-87/1045 dated 26th
Instant states that no applica-
tions have been received
from Inamdars establishing
their claims to minerals.

Rec
4.10.97

Submitted for orders.

6/10/97

Shimoga District

Deputy Commr's Office

No 432/243 & Shimoga 30th September 1897. for—

To The Deputy Commr—& Asst Collr

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl and Revenue Departt

Sir,

With reference to Government Order
No 3275-87/1045 dated 26th Instant directing
the submission of a statement showing
the number of claims preferred under
Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code
and the number forwarded for disposal
to the Inam Superintendent and the
number, if any, still pending, I have the
honor to state that no such applications have
been received in this Office up to date from
the Inamdars. I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Illegible

Cl. No. 2415
No. 1494
OCT. 97
1897
LANDS OFFICE.

Section 14
File No 8K-9
Serial No 5

From The Deputy Receiver
Tsimsian District (4)

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Queensn Govt and
Rev. Depts. —

Dated 30th September 1897
Despatched 30th
Received

General No. 344.
No.

Enclosure No.
Government Circ: No
3275 of the 26th instant
82.1045

Stating that no claim
for mineral rights
have been received
from Squatters on
this District under
Section 38 of the Land
Revenue Code

—

Rec'd 4/10/97

Submitted for orders.

No 344

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 30th September 1897.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 3275-87 / R 1045 of the 26th instant, I have the honor to state that no claims for mineral rights have been received from Incumbars in this District under section 38 of the Land Revenue Code.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner

G.I. No. 2626
1897 R 1619
14 OCT. 97

Rec'd
14/10/97

Submitted for orders
DS
14/10/97

Papers on the Section
File No
Serial No

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hazara District
To The Secretary to the Government of the Punjab
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 12 Octo 1897.
Despatched "
Received
General No.
No. 387
Enclosure No. nave

Submitted. P.W.S. Cracroft
The order and reports received from some of the Dy Commrs were submitted to 2d Councillor on 10-10-1897.
VL
16/10/97

Subd to Secty
17/10/97

Subd
17-10-97

RD/Rec.
19.10.97.

Book (- No 387 of 12/10/1897 from the Deputy Commr, Hazara to the Secretary to Govt, Punjab & Chief Commr, N.W.F.P.)

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 3275-87 / R 1045 dated the 26th Ult. relating to rights to minerals of certain Inamdars, who failed to prefer their claims within the five years prescribed in Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code, has the honour to state that no claims have been preferred by the Inamdars of this District.

For Deputy Commissioner

Rw
18.10.97

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 2706
2 1670
18 OCT 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

1897

Submitted. References on the subject are in Cuttp.
18/10/97 Ref

Section
File No. 7
Serial No.

From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District

To The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Submitted.
The previous references are before the 2d Councillor.
18/10/97 S.

Memo Rd
M
19/10/97

General and Revenue Departments

Dated 12th
Despatched 15th } October 1897

Received

Enclosures No. —
General No. 360.
No. 340.

Subject to 2 (two) enclosures.
C
20-10-97

With reference to Government order No: 3275-87 R. 1045 dated 26th ultimo calling for a statement of all claims to minerals preferred under section 38 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code I have the honor to state that no such claims appear to have been preferred in this District

Cusumagentur
Dpty Commrs

W 9

Docket No 360/340 of 1897-98 dated 15th Oct 1897 from the Dy Commr Chitaldrug to the Secretary 15 foot, four folios Dofts

Gen. 31.]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
Section
File No
Serial No

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3480
1897-98 No. 2425
14 NOV 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

7

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To

The Secretary to the
Government. ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Revenue General depart

Dated 13th November 1897

Despatched 15

Received

General No. 1601
No. 463

Enclosure No. 1-

Submitting statement showing the number of claims preferred in the District by the Inamdars in regard to their right to minerals

Rec 16/11

No 8.

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 13th November 1897

Letter No 433/97

From The Dy Commr Kolar,

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departt

Sir

Adverting to Government Proceedings
No. 3275-87/R. 1045 dated 26th September 1897, I have
the honor to submit herewith a statement
showing the numbers of claims preferred
in this district by the Irandars in regard
to their rights to minerals

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed.t Servant.

A Narayanaiya
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

copd
2104

Statement showing the number of claims preferred by the Inamdars in regard to their rights to minerals, in the Kolar District —

Taluk	Village	Name of applicant	Remarks —
Bowringpet	Tookal	Ranganayakamma, Inamdar of Tookal	The entire file of proceedings relating to this case was forwarded to the Superintendent of Inam settlements under para 3, Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code with this Office Letter No 116/88 dated 8th July 1895
— Do —	Kagginahalli and Kottapalicum	Miss Anstey.	The Superintendent of Inams was requested in this Office Letter No 26/16 dated 3rd July 97 to submit to Government the papers relating to this Office Case. Vide Correspondence ending with this Office docket No 24/7 dated 1st July 1897 to the Secretary, Rev: & General Departme
— Do —	Thambarahally	Nagappa Jodidar of Thambarahalli	The Sunnd granted by Dewan Purniah was not produced and the other documents that were relied upon were considered invalid. The papers will be sent to the Inam Superintendent unde para 3 of Section 38 of the Revenue Regulations.

Kolar Dy: Comm'r Office
Dated 12th November

W Thurlow Esqr
Dy Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

5851

1897–98.

R35-89

(10)

From

The Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District.



The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 10th
Despatched } February 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. –

General No. 939 40

Requesting to obtain from M. Krishnaiengar late Sheristadar of this District certain information about the number of claims to Inamdars preferred by Inamdars under Section 38 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code.

Rec'd 22/2/98
Issued 23/2/98

14/2/98 NBW 22 Rec'd 14/2/98

Papers with the Office Note to be treated with the claims on the 14th

No. 10

No. 939

Enclosures No. —

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 16 Febry: 1898.

from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket
No. $\frac{6371-2}{R.2058}$ dated 17th January 1898, re=
questing to report the number of claims
to minerals preferred by Inamdars
under section 38 of the Mysore Land
Revenue Code, I have the honor to
state that all the Amildars in this
District have reported that no such
claims were preferred by the Inam=
dars in their respective talukrs.

2. M. Krishnaiengar, late She=
rustadar of the District, who is now
employed in your Office, seems to

have

have said that one or two Inamdars had put in applications in the matter but I have not as yet been able to lay my hands on any reference to shew when and from whom such applications were received and how they were disposed of. I request therefore that you will be good enough to obtain the necessary information from Krishnaji Pant and favour me with the same to enable me to reply to the Government Proceedings No. 3275-87 / 82.1045 of the 26th September 1897 on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant.

K Matthan
for Deputy Commissioner
of the Nyse District

Comp. Ref.

R 38-26

Gen. 31

1897-98.

(8)



From
The Dy. Commissioner of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Genl. & Rev: Departments.

Dated 26th.
Despatched 28th. } February 1898.
Received

General No.
D No. 1140
Enclosure No. one

Secretary's No. 3279-87
R 1045
dated 26th. September 1897

Submits a statement showing the number of claims to minerals preferred by Inamdars in this District and forwarded to the Inam Superintendent for disposal.

Rev 13
Refer
3/3/98
Refs pr letter. Report not due from
D.C. Bangalore. Publication

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th February 1898.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 3279-87 R 1045 dated 26th September 1897 calling for a report on the number of claims to minerals preferred by Inamdars under section 38 of Mysore Land Revenue Code in the District, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the number of such claims preferred in this District and forwarded to the Inam Superintendent for disposal and to state that there are none still pending in this office.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Asstt. Commissioner
in District Charge

Sheet No 115.

Statement showing the number of claims preferred by Inamdars within the
years prescribed in section 38 of the Land Revenue Code to the mineral rights
in Inam villages in the Bangalore District.

Taluk	Village	Date of grant and the authority making it	Terms of the grant relied upon as conveying the mineral rights claimed.	Date of presentation of claim to the Deputy Commissioner	Date and nature of decision if any has already been passed	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Dve Kal	Guddanahalli Jodi Adur Kayengutta Sonnanaikenhalli Kayengutta. Durgahalli Survamanya.	The applications have been forwarded to the Inam Superintendent.				The particulars called for in columns 3, 4, and 6 are not available, as the original papers are with the Inam
Closepet						
Kankanhalli	Kaggalipura	31st December 1828.	26th February 1898			The Inamdar states that preferred his some time back claim, but application not forthcoming in this office records. The present application has been forwarded to Inam Superintendent

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 26th February 1898.

S. Sreenivasa
Asstt. Commissioner
in District charge
for Deputy Commissioner.

Gen. 30]

189

11

From

The ~~Revenue~~ Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated 25/2/98

Despatched

Received ✓

General No. 7940

R. No. 2609

Enclosure No.

His No 939 of 10th February 1898.

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith a memo: submitted by Mr. G. Krishnaiyar, Clerk Devanis Office giving information regarding the applicability of certain Inamdars claiming to rights in Minerals in their Inam villages.

By order

for Secretary.

22/2/98

No 11.

Docket No 7940/26 of 98

25/2/98 to Deputy Commr

Mysore. 11

Section
File No 96-97
Serial No 11

GL No. 6776
R 4053
1897.98

From

(13)

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.



Dated 15
Despatched March 1898
Received
General No. 1076
No.

Enclosure No. —

43

Intimating information regarding the Inamdars claims to the rights to minerals.

Rev 18/3/98

Slip Read

Copy for info. The Commissioner Mysore is requested to send a report on the matter.

21/3 by Post

22/3/98

Submitted at 12 P.M. 21/3/98

27th Sundays

Submitted to the Office note

Note Subs

No. 1076
Enclosure No.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Camp Periapatam 5th March 1898.

From The Deputy Commr. Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

Application from Ramanuja Rao, Inamdar of Kaveramma Village, Hunsur Taluq, forwarded to the Sheristadar's office for orders with Sheristadar's No. 1385 dated 21.11.94.

Application from Ramanna Rao, Inamdar of Kaveramma Village, Hunsur Taluq, forwarded to the Sheristadar's office for orders with Sheristadar's No. 972 dated 19th January 1895.

The Regional Survey Superintendent has also been addressed in regard to the ascertainment of the registered extent of the Inams.

With reference to Government Proceedings no. 3275-87 / K 1045-1 dated 26th September 1897 I have the honor to report that the registers of this office show that the marginally noted Inamdars preferred their claims to the rights to minerals in their lands within the five years prescribed in Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code and that their applications for the same were forwarded to the Inam Superintendent for disposal on the dates specified

against each.

2. No trace of any such application having been made by Mr. B. Gopala Iyengar, Inamdar of Harihanpur, Krishnarajapet Taluq, referred to in M.G. Krishna Iyengar's Memo received with your docket no. 7940/K 26091 dated 26th ultimo has yet been found out. Further search is being made and the information will be furnished as soon as it is obtained.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Encl in No 13

Statement shewing the discrepancies in the Inam Supdt's Statement & the Dyfcours's Statement of the claims preferred by Inamdars to mineral rights in their villages.

Claims made by Inamdars not included in the Statement submitted by the Inam Supdt but included in the Dyfcours's Statements		Claims included in the Inam Supdt's statement but not in those of Deputy Collectors.		Remarks
Taluk	Village	Taluk	Village	
Kankanhalli Taluk	Kaggalipur			
Bowringpet Taluk	Thambarahalli	Kolar Taluk	Holali Agrahara Jodi	
		Tirumgapatam Taluk	Pattasomana-halli	This has been disposed of. Please see this office Camp No. dated 28/9/97 to Supdt. of Inam
		Nanjungud Taluk	Thumbanerku	

Vide Vol 45

draft as per D.O.

(14)



No. -/5

The Supdt. of Inam Settlements in Mys

Received on 6693

Dated 7-4-98

Dispatched

No 9693 in/

" R. 3170.

His letter No. 30 dated 30th September 1897, Sub-mitting a Statement shewing the claims preferred by Inamdars to mineral rights in their respective villages in the Mysore State.

With reference to the above, has the honor to forward herewith the accompanying Statements Certain Discrepancies Shewing ~~the discrepancies~~ Observed on Comparing the ~~in the Inam Supdt's~~ State-ment received from the Inam Supdt with as ~~compared with~~ the

statements received from the Dy. Comrs of Districts and to request that these discrepancies therein found ~~omissions may be supplied and may be rectified~~ in Communication with the Dy. Comrs concerned ~~and~~ a revised Statement ~~submitted~~ forwarded to this office ~~at an early date~~.

discrepancies may be explained

By order

Secretary

5/4/98

W.B.
5/4/98

Note for file
Papers in connection with this have been detached & sent to Assistant WAO

5/4/98

(6776
R 4053

Encl in No 1/2

Statement shewing the discrepancies in the Inam Superintendents' Statement and the Deputy Commissioner's Statements of the claims preferred by Inamdars to mineral rights in their Villages.

Claims made by Inamdars not included in the statement submitted by the Inam Superintendent but included in the Deputy Commissioner's statements.			Claims included in the Inam Superintendent's statements but not in those of Deputy Commissioners.		Remarks.
District	Taluk	Village	Taluk	Village	
Bangalore.	Kanakanahalli Taluk	Kaggalipura	"	"	The claim was not preferred within the prescribed time, but only made on the 26th February 1898. Under Sec 38(4), this has been treated as time barred.
Kolar.	Bowringpet Taluk	Thambarahalli	"	"	The case was sent by the Deputy Commissioner only on the 19th November 97. Hence it was not entered in the list of 30th September 1897.
	Do.		Kolar Taluk	Holali Agrahar jody	The claim has been rejected by the Deputy Commissioner. The Proceedings have been sent for from this office, on the complaint of jodidars for reference
Mysore.			Srirangapatam Taluk. Nanjangud Taluk	Pattasomanahalli. Thumbenerlu.	These cases were sent up by the Deputy Commissioner himself for disposal under Section 38 of Land Revenue Code. But they have been finally disposed of, Vide Govern order camp No 1 dated 28th September 1897.

Inam Superintendents office
Bangalore dated 16th/20 April 1898

× Encl This is with the file of papers forwarded to the Asstt Secy D/48 on 5/4/98 with note. GMP

Supt of Inam Settlt

Gl. No. 7611
R 4483
1897-98.

15

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 16th April 98.
Despatched 20th
Received

No. 85 of 1897-98.

Enclosure No. One.

In reply to his docket No. 9693/R.3170. dated 9th Instant, has the honor to forward, herewith, a statement explaining the particulars of discrepancies pointed out in the statement received with the docket under reply, regarding the claims preferred by Inamdars to mineral rights in their respective villages in the Province.

Supt. of Inam Setts.

Recd 21/4.
Subd. 22/4. - The file of papers on the subject is before the Asst. Secretary. D.S.O. Submitted. The file is in D.H.O

189

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

(16)

Section
File No
Serial No

To The Supdt. of Inams in Mysore

Dated 28/2/99.

Despatched

Received

General No. 6020.

No. 100-97

Enclosure No.

Docket No 6020/100-97 & 28/2/99
to the Inam Superintendent
Mysore

No 15

Has the honor to request that the Supdt. of Inams will be so good as to submit at a very early date a complete list of all claims to enumerals filed by Inamdars under Proviso (3) of Sec. 38 of the Land Revenue Code with particulars as to their disposal and with a copy of the decision passed by him in each case. If any of them is pending, the Inam Supdt. is requested to state why it is so pending and when it is likely to be disposed of.

By order

G.P.

D

Please see Govt's proceedings on the subject

25/1899

Section

File No

Serial No

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
R. 3728
CENTRAL OFFICE

1898-99. No. 5705

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

17

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments

Dated 14th
Despatched 15th } March 1899
Received

Enclosure No. 6

No. 66.0 of 1898—99
& 1855 from the Inam Superintendent
His docket No. 6020 / R.J 100-97 dated 28th Ultimo

As requested in the above, has the honor to submit, herewith, a complete list of all claims to minerals filed by Inamdars under Provision (3) of Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code, with particulars as to their disposal and with a copy of the decision passed by the under-signed in each case so far disposed of.

J. J. Smith

Supt. of Inam Settlt.

2 Pcls

List Shewing the number of cases, regarding the claims preffered to Mineral rights, from the Inamdarsup to 28th February 1899.

Prepared as per Secretarys Docket No. 6020 / A.7100-97 dated 28th February 1899.

Talook	Village	Serial No.	Date of Settlement	Nature of decision		Remarks.
Bowringpette	Sookaloo Varva Inamya	1	20th February 1898	Claim admitted	Copy of decision attached.	
Sreerangapatam	Pattasomanahally S. In	2	23rd April 1898	Do.		Do.
Nanjangod	Tumbanerle S. In	3	Do.	Do.		Do.
Bowringpette	Kaggimahalli jodi	4	16th April 1898	Claim disallowed	Copy of decision has been sent with docket No. dated 7th March 1899	
Do.	Kottapalyem jodi	5	Do.	Do.		Do.
Do.	Jamberahalli jodi	6	1st February 1899	Do.	Copy of decision attached.	
Kolar	Hollale Agrahar	7	24th February 99	Do.		Do.
Nanjangod	Kanenoor S. M.	8	28th February 99	Claim accepted		Do.
Do.	Kallahalli S. In	9	Do.	Do.	Copy of decision attached.	
Do.	Maddoor S. In	10	Do.	Do.		Do.
Do.	Hatavaloo S. In	11	Do.	Do.		Do.
Do.	Mandonahalli S. In	12	Do.	Do.		Do.
Srerrangapatam	Mahadevapura S. In	13		Claim admitted	Proceedings sent to the Deputy Commissioner for his opinion under clause III Section 38 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, originally not recorded by that Officer.	
Do.	Menasikyatthanahally S. In	14		do		
Do.	Maragondanahalli S. In	15		do		
Do.	Sihally S. M.	16	Decisions not received	do		
Malavalli	Doddabalagodu S. In	17		do		
Do.	Verrarajapura S. In	18		do		
Do.	Heggoor S. In	19		do		
Closepette	Ooragahalli S. In	20	allowed	do	Posted for hearing on the 24th March 1899.	
Kunigal	Hadavanahalli jodi	21	Re-opened	Disallowed		
Anekal	Goodanahalli jodi	22	Disallowed	do	Posted for hearing on the 29th March 1899:- Notice issued to the parties.	
Do.	Aadoor Kayemgutta	23	Received	do		
Do.	Soranavayakanapura K. G.	24		do		

57

Inam Superintendents' office
Bangalore dated 14th March 1899

Supt. of Inam Setts.

№ 1. of 1897-98

(b)

In the Court of the Superintendent Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Enquiry, under Section 38.(3) of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, held regarding the claims of Runganayakamma to rights to minerals in the village of Sookal in the Bowringpet Taluk Kolar District.

Rangavayakamma is the Inamdar of the village of Sookal and in accordance with notice duly served attended, although not actually appearing, and left the representation of her case to her husband S. S. Sirumalachar, on the 28th February 1898.

In the present, as in all similar cases, Documentary proof alone can be forth-coming.

In a Sanad granted by the late Maharajah Krishnaraj Wodeyar, in 1816, original produced, the right to minerals is most clearly admitted in terms which the Mysore Government have expressed themselves willing (in para 8 of Dewans letter № 20 of 16th September 1886 addressed to the Resident in Mysore), to accept as conveying a right to minerals. Accordingly it is decided, under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code, that the Inamdar of the

Sarvamanya

Sarvamanya village of Jookal of the Bowringpet Talook has established her claim to mineral rights in that village which are hereby admitted.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant

28-2-98.

Supt. of Inam Settls.

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 4th March
1899

Copy"

J. P. Grant

Supt. of Inam Settls.

No 2 of 1897-98

Encl in No 16 ✓

17/C

Before Colonel J. P. Grant Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Claims to mineral rights. Case No 2.

Applicant M. Sidda Raje Urs Inamdar of the Sarvamanyam villages of Pattasomanhally and Tumbanerlie in the Srirangapatam and Nunjan-gode Talooks respectively.

1 Read the correspondence ending with Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's yadi No 121/58 dated 21st July 1893 forwarding with his opinion Vernacular — file on claim.

2. Read also Secretary's letter Camp No 1 dated 28th September 1897 referring the Inam Superintendent to para 8, of the Dewan's letter to the Resident in Mysore, No 20 dated 16th September 1886, in which it is stated that certain statements of the grant of the eight incidents of property known in Sans-krit as (1) jala (2) Taru, (3) Pashana (4) Nidhi-Nan-shepa, (5) Akshina (6) Agami (7) Siddha and (8) Sadhy occurring in any old grant, the same will be accepted by the Mysore Government, as conferring a right to minerals.

3. All the formalities required by the Land Revenue Code

Code have been duly observed.

4. In the original Sanad of His Highness the late Maharajah dated 19th October 1829 the mention of the eight incidents of property given in detail in Para 2 above distinctly occurs.

Order

5. Under the above circumstances no doubt whatever can exist regarding the right to minerals having been distinctly provided for in the grant. The Inamdars of the Two Villages of Pallasomanhally and Sumbanerli are hereby declared to be entitled to mineral rights under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code.

(Signed) J. P. Grant

23-4-98 Supt of Inam Settlements

"Copy"

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 14th March
1899

J. P. Grant

Supt of Inam Settls

No 3 of 1897-98

Encl in No 16

(2)

17/10

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

In the Office of the Superintendent Settlements dated 16th April '98.

Application by Kadrappah, Shanboque, to establish right to minerals in the Villages of Kottapalyem and Kaggulhally both of the Bowringpet Taluk as provided for in Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code.

In the case of the Village of Kaguhally, the exhibits, as noted in the margin, in no case contain any allusion to, much less transfer of rights by Government to minerals. Consequently, the applicant's claim is disallowed and mineral rights are reserved to Government.

1. Sanad by Dewan Poornaiah and
2. Tahid by Fowzdar Ruiga Rajahs both referred to in the Inam Register.
3. Sanad by Captain Alexander not referred to in Inam Register

As regards the village of Kottapalyem, the exhibits were

as

as noted in the margin
Exhibits 2, 3 and 4 contain
no allusion whatever to
mineral rights. Exhibit one
(1) it is urged conveys the
right to minerals by the
use of the word "Hasilath"
It became necessary there-
fore to arrive at the true interpre-
tation of this word "Hasilath" and
the following evidence was at the
instance of the Government Advocate
taken. Mr Mohamed Ali, Son of
Mohamed age 65, retired Depu-
ty Commissioner, residing in Civil
and Military Station, duly Sworn,
States.

1. Sanad by Dilawarkan Bahadoor to Subadar of Kolar Pargana Hizari 1167-17 Ramzan.
2. Sanad by Balaji Baji Rao
3. Sanad by Balaji Baji Rao.
4. Agana Patrak by Balaji Rao.

"I know Persian, Hindustani.
"and Arabic, can write all
"three. In reading Sanad A
"I find the word "Hasilath"
"which is an Arabic word mean-
"ing produce. There is nothing
"in this word which can be ta-
"ken as referring to minerals."

The claimant has no questions to ask this witness.

Mr Abdul Rahiman Son of Mohamud Hussain age 42 residing in St John's Hill, 2nd Magistrate Civil and Military Station, duly Sworn, states.

"I know Persian, Arabic and "Hindustani, can read and "write all three. I read Sanad "A and find the word "Hosilath "plural of 'Hosil' an Arabic "word meaning produce or ad- "vantage, there is nothing in the "word which could be taken to "apply to minerals; Claimant "here asks witness to read exhi- "bits B and C and to give his opi- "nion. Witness states 'I read B & C "and think there is no reference to "Subsoil products. Before giving "my opinion, I consulted Richard- "son's Arabic and Persian Diction- "ary. I now see Dictionary by Cap- "tain Isseph Taylor Vol. I and find "the word 'Hasil' at page 689 and

"the meaning given is as I have
"already stated."

Claimant has nothing more to say but trusts to the Documents filed to prove his case.

Under the above circumstances and evidence I disallow the claim to mineral rights in the case of the Village of Kottapalyem also.

Claimant states that the amount of his claim, as comprised in minerals, may be taken at Rs. 8000, for Kottapalyem and Rs 12000 for Kaguhalli, in all mineral rights may be taken as Rs 20,000.

The above opinion of the claimant was elicited at the suggestion of the Government Advocate, and I have recorded it but it seems to me immaterial as it is not my intention to make any decision in the matter of costs. In my opinion the claimant was justified in preparing his claim in the case of the Village of Kottapalyem as the word "Hasilath"

might very easily be suppos-
ed by an ignorant person
like the claimant to convey
very extensive rights.

(Signed) J. P. Grant
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements.

No. 4 of 1898-99

Encl. in No. 16

17/D 8

(2)

In the office of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements. 15th August 1898.

Application by Nagappa godidar to establish right to minerals in the village of Tamberhally of the Bowringpet Talook Kolar District, as provided for in Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code.

Parties concerned were ordered to be present on this day at 11 A.M but have failed to appear. Moreover on perusal of Documents upon which the claim rests for support it is evident that, without a translation of, and evidence taken as to meaning of certain words in, exhibits A and B which are in the Persian language no satisfactory decision can be arrived at. Under these circumstances the case is adjourned to Thursday the 1st September 1898.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Setts.

Adjourned on representation of godidar to 1st February 1899. Notice to be sent Miss. Anstey appeared apparently on behalf of godidar and solicited adjournment.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Setts.

21-1-99

Notice issued.

21-1-99.

The principal party, the jodidar did not appear although the Summons was duly served upon him and acknowledged. The appearance of the jodidar after all is of no great importance as the case depends entirely upon Documents A and B as above.

Neither A nor B contain any term or expression which can be construed into a surrender on the part of the then Government to the grantee of rights to metals and minerals. As the only word which could possibly be so construed and then only by a great stretch of imagination is "hasilath," I see no object in recording a translation of A and B.

In case No 3 of the 16th April 1898. Meaning of the word "hasilath" was carefully gone into aided by the evidence of experts and it was clearly shown that it meant produce.

I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the claimant has not established his right to minerals in the village of Tumbarhalli Bowringpet Taluk Kolar District.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settlt.

1-2-99

Copy

J. P. Grant

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 14th March

(5)

Memo

The petition of the godidars of Holali village claiming mining right in the Village under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code was referred to me by the Deputy Commissr. for enquiry and report.

The godidars who appeared as per this office notice were represented by one Lingambhatta one of the Vrittidars and gave a statement producing certain documents relating to the Village. The original documents were returned to the godidar which true copies have been caused to be made and are herewith submitted for reference.

The documents produced by the godidar do not disclose anything regarding the mineral rights having been vested in the godidars.

The petition of the godidar together with all the papers bearing in the subject are herewith Submitted for reference.

Kolar
1st November 1894

Signed Abdul azez

Confirmed as above.

24-2-99.

Signed J. P. Grant
Supdt. of Inam Sett.

"Copy"

Inam Supdts office
Bangalore dated 4th March

KB.

No. 6 of 1898-99

Enclosure No. 16

In the office of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

Application by Musahib Ram Rao to establish his rights to metals and minerals in the 5 villages noted in the marginally noted Villages, of the Nanjangod Talook, under Sec: 38 of the Land Revenue Code

1. Kanenur
2. Kalhalli
3. Maddur
4. Halēval
5. Mandanhalli

As must necessarily be the case in all applications of this kind the proof of the claimed rights can be found only in some instrument of transfer executed by Government. In the present case the applicant produces authenticated copy of Sanad of His Highness the Maharajah dated 14th October 1818 from which it is clear that the rights to all precious metals, stones and other minerals were distinctly conceded in terms which the Mysore Government would, as stated at Para 8 of the Dewans letter No. 20 dated 16th September 1896 to the resident in Mysore, accept as conveying rights to minerals. The original sanad of 14th October 1818 is said to exist and has been written for; on receipt the final decision in this case will be recorded.

+ Nidhi, Nikshepa, Jala, Sara, Pashana, Akshina aghani, Siddha, Sadya.

(Signed) J. P. Grant

29th July 1898.

Supt. of Inam Settlts.

The original of the Sanad dated 14th October 1818
has this day been produced by Bhima Row in
Rasaldar, and fully bears out above decision.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant

28-2-99

Supt. of Inam Settlements

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 14th March
1899

Copy

J. P. Grant

Supt. of Inam Setts.

Ph

Draft as forwarded



(18)

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Dharwar.

Docket No: 675-1/1899-1900
of the 28th March 1899.

Dated 28/3/99
28/3
No. 675-1.
RY 100-99
~~Sir~~

In ~~I am directed to~~ ac-
knowledge ~~the~~ receipt of
~~your~~ docket No-66 dated
14 ~~the~~ March 1899, from the Supdt Dhs
~~submit~~ forwarding a complete list of
all claims to mineral
rights preferred by Inam
dars under Proviso (3) of
Section 38 of ~~the~~ Dharwar
Land Revenue Code, ~~with the~~
~~particulars as to the dis-~~
~~posal~~ ~~and~~ to request
that ~~the~~ particulars ~~of the~~
disposal of the cases now
posted for hearing ~~before~~

him Copy communicated to
~~you~~, may be ~~reported~~ in
with Copies of decree
due Course, and Steps
taken to expedite the dis-
-posal of the cases which
are under report by the
Deputy Commr of Impore.

I have ~~rea~~

28/3/22

For Secretary

This
Five
fortnightly
Returns
28/3/22

Gl. No. 6158
Call No. 333.3
1898-99

(18 1/2)

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments

Dated 4th inst.
Despatched 5th inst. } April 1899
Received

No. 72. of 1898—99

Enclosure No. one.

In continuation of this office docket No. 66 dated 14th ultimo, has the honor to forward, herewith a copy of decision+ regarding the claim to minerals by Mr. N. Narasimmyengar, Inamdar of Vinagahalli Sarvamanya Village in the Closepet Sub Taluk.

Supt. of Inam Settlts.

+ No. 7 of 1898-99.

6. 4. 99

No 7

In the office of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

This is a claim to minerals under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code by Mr N. Narasimiengar — Inamdar of the village of Vinragahalli Closepet — Sub Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner in his Proceedings, under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code, dated 25th November 1895 expresses his opinion that the Inamdar, under the terms of the Sanad alienating the village, is entitled to all precious metals and minerals in it.

I have this day examined the original Sanad which is dated 12th october 1825 and as it contains a statement of the grant of jala, taru, Pashana, Nidhi - Nikshepa, Akshina, Agami, Siddha and Sadhya which the Mysore Government (Dewan's letter No 20 of 16th September 1886, Para 8) is prepared to accept as indicating no residue of rights being retained by the grantor, I decide that the Inamdar is entitled to minerals under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code.

24th march 1899 [Signed] J. P. Grant
Supt of Inam Setts

"Copy
J P Grant

R 3744 OFFICE

General Serial No. 30.

(20)

189 9.

From The Supte of Inam Settlements

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Depart.



Camp Ootacamund May 1898-99

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 76.
No.

In reply to his docket Re. 7554 of 1898-99 dated 22nd ultimo, has the honor to inform that although a reminder was sent on the 30th March last to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District to expedite the return of proceedings regarding the claim to minerals by the Inamdar of Mahadevapura and other villages in the Seeranagapatna and Malavalli Talooks, no reply has yet been received as soon as a reply is received from that office the case will be posted for hearing and disposed of, and the result duly communicated with copy of decision.

Supte of Inams Sales

Dy Comr Mysore (2)

Here copy docket No 768 2d May 1899 from the Supdt. of Inam Settlements in Mysore reporting that although a reminder was sent to the Dy Commr, Mysore District, on the 30th March he has not returned the proceedings relating to the claim to minerals of the Inamdars of Allahadevapur and other villages in the Seringapatam and Malavalli Taluks.)



No 8234 dt 11/5/99.
R. 365
R.Y. 100-97

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Commr, Mysore District, for early attention.

"By Order"
11/5/99
For Secretary

1899.

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The Superintendent of
Revenue Survey in Mysore

Dated 1-6-99. (22)

Despatched 1st June 99.

Received

General No. 8811

No. 100-97

Enclosure No.

Section 1910/1
File No 96-9
Serial No 14

Docket No. 76 dated
2nd May 1899 from the Supdt
of Revenue Survey in Mysore
stating that after the re-
ceipt from the Dy. comm.
of Mysore of the proceedings
regarding the claim to
minerals by the Inamdars
of Mahadevapur and
other villages in the Mal-
valli and Seringapatam
Taluks the case will be
posted for hearing and
disposed of, and the result
duly communicated with
copy of decision

Referring to the above

has the honor to enquire how the case stands at present & that a copy of the decision may be expected

whether the proceedings in question have been received and if so to be good enough to forward to this office the particulars called for in this office No 6751— Ref. 100-97 dated 28th March 1899.

"

B. O

31/5/99

For Secretary.

R 5.6.99

REVENUE DEPT. 7661 R 5030

1898-99

(23)

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Section

File No

Serial No

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments

Dated 3rd inst.
Despatched do.
Received

June 1899

No. 90 of 1898—99

Enclosure No. Nil

In reply to his docket No 8811 / R.J 100-99 dated 1st inst, has the honor to inform that although a reminder was sent on the 30th March last, to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District to expedite the return of Proceedings, with his opinion as required by Law, regarding the claim to minerals preferred by the Inamdar of Mahadevapura and other villages in the Srirangapatna and Malavalli Talooks, no reply has yet been received from that officer; another reminder has also been sent to him today to return the proceedings without further delay.

Supt of Inam Settlts

Section
File No
Serial No From

R 302

1899-1900

(25)

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 27th
Despatched Do } July 1899
Received

No. 7. of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. Nil.

In reply to his docket No. 685 / R. F 100-97 dated 21st Instant, has the honor to state that the two reminders alluded to in the docket under reply were duly replied to by the undersigned in this office dockets Nos. 76 & 90 dated respectively 2nd May and 3rd June 1899.

There are only 2 more claims of Inamdars for mineral rights as noted in the margin, pending for settlement. These will be disposed of on the receipt of necessary information from the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District, and the —

Amildar

Reason for delay.

The Amildar of Srirangapatna Taluk has not yet returned the notice duly served, a reminder also has been sent.

The original samad which was despatched to the Mysore District Treasury has been applied for by the Deputy Commissioner.

1. Sy Rama Rau jahagirdar of Mahadevapura
2. Sy Mr B. Gopalaiyar, Inamdar of Hariharapura village, Srirangapatna Taluk.

Amildar of Srirangapatna Taluk and copies of findings will be submitted as requested in his docket No. 6020/R.F.100-97 dated 28th February last.

J P Grant

Supt. of Inam Settls.

Draft for ratification

To.

(26)



The Dy Commr
Mysore District.

Dated. 1/10/97 & 2/8/99.

(R. 380) No R.T. 100-97

Has the honor to forward herewith for the very early issue of necessary instructions a copy of Docket no. 7 dated 27th July 1899 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, stating that the claims to mineral rights preferred by Rama Rao, Jahagirdar of Allahabad and Mr. Gopala Iyengar, Inamdar of Harihara-pura village, Krishnarajpet Taluk, will be disposed of on receipt of necessary information called for from the Deputy Commr; Mysore District

and the Amildowns of the
Seringapatam Taluk.

"By Order"

For Secretary

Copy forwarded to the Dewan, Mysore District, for the issue very early issue of instructions in the matter.

31/7/99

Now received
Dewan's Order
Issued

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 900
AUG. 9 1899
No 469
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Docket No. 11
& 16 Dated 8th August 1899

1899-1900

27

Submitted
Secretary for
information

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Section
File No. General 15
Serial No. 168

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments

Please
No 17.
The decision in
the case of Mahadeva
pur & the villages
in the Seringapatam
& Malavalli Talukas
(Mysore District)
will be awarded -
Reminder sent
& Orders - Please
see papers
Nos 7-8 and 9. Serial No: 27

11
15/8/99

Dated 8th August
Despatched &c.
Received
No. 11. of 1899-1900

(Enclosure No. Three)

In continuation of this office docket No 66 dated 14th March last, has the honor to forward herewith three copies of decisions passed by the undersigned regarding the claims preferred by the Inamdars for mineral rights

J J Grant
Supdt of Inam Settt

Seen
16/8/99
Hand for - for -
- might be Remind
11 (8/8/99

55

9.8.99 Does this complete the statt - or any more decisions reqd - Ref

11/8/99

Submitted.
Ref to Supt
932
8/9
The decisions in the case of villages referred to in Serial nos. 13 to 14 of the list appended

Enclosure in No. 15

(a)

No. 7 of 1898–1899.

In the office of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Note.
A Copy of this has already been received. Please See Serial No (21) –

This is a claim to minerals under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code by Mr. N. Narasamiengar Inamdar of the village of Vanagahally, Closepet Sub-Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner in his Proceedings, under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code, dated 25th November 1895, expresses his opinion that the Inamdar, under the terms of the Sanad alienating the village, is entitled to all precious metals and minerals in it.

I have this day examined the orginal Sanad which is dated 12th October 1825 and as it contains a statement of the grant of jala, Jaru, Pashana Nidhi, Nikshepa, Akshina, Agami, Siddha and Sadhya which the Mysore Government (Dewan's letter No. 20 of 16th September 1886, Para 8) is prepared to accept as indicating no residue of rights being retained by the granter, I decide that the Inamdar is entitled to minerals under Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code.

(Signed) J. S. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settl.

24th March 1899.

Copy
J. S. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settl.

Inam Superintendent's office

№ 8. of 1899-1900. In the office of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Encl in № 6 (2) 27/6

Claim to minerals under Section 38(3) of the Mysore Land Revenue Code by Mr. B. Ramaswamiengar — Inamdar of the villages of Guddenhally, Adur and Swarna Vaikanpura in the Anekal Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner as required by Section 38 held a formal enquiry on the above claim and recorded his opinion on the 8th March as follows.

"Opinion. The Sannads give the Inamdar
"no right to minerals nor as admitted by
"him has he ever exercised such rights.
"His claim is not sustainable."

Finally this day 4th July 1899 Mr. Gopaliengar brother of the Applicant appeared before me and with one exception to be noticed below, produced new evidence. The document which was produced as new evidence and which it is observed, is not signed or sealed and was not produced at the Inam enquiry, purports to have been written and granted by one Chikkera Immadaja in 1739. It contains terms which would be held to confer rights to minerals. It refers only to one village Guddenahalle Against this grant of 1739 being recognized these are not only the facts above stated but it also appears that the village was

Subsequently

Subsequently resumed and again granted by Dewan Purnaya in 1810 when no mention of ÷ mineral rights occurred.

I am of opinion that no right to minerals has been established in regard to any of the ÷ three villages above alluded to.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settls

4-7-1899.

Copy
J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settls

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated August
1899

No 9. of 1899-1900.

In the office of Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Received 1899

27/C

This is a claim to mineral rights under Section 38 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code preferred by S. Ramaswamiengar holder of the Inam village of Hadavanhally in the Kunigal Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner in compliance with the procedure prescribed in the above section enquired into the above claims and recorded his opinion in his Vernaculer Yadi No 588/233 dated 7th October 1897. That opinion was, that the sanad contained no mention whatever of mineral rights. A perusal of the Sanad or rather Sanads for there are two, issued by His Highness the Maharajah in 1826 and 1827, respectively, confirms the Deputy Commissioner's finding

I am of opinion that, no express grant to mineral rights having ever been made by Government to the applicant, or any one else, as regards the village of Hadavanahalli, the right to the same still vests in Government.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt of Inam Setts

4-7-1899

Copy
J P Grant

Inam Superintendent's office

Gl. No. 1300
1899-1900 R 677
28

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Section
File No
Serial No

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments

Dated 25th August 1899.
Despatched
Received

No. 17. of 1899-900

Enclosure No. One

In continuation of this office docket No. 11 dated 8th Instant, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of decision passed by the undersigned regarding the claim preferred by Mr. B. Gopaliengar Inamdar of Hariharpura Sarvamanya village, Krishnarajpet Taluk for mineral rights.

Supt. of Inam Settlt.

No 10 of 1899-1900.

28/a

In the Court of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Enquiry, under Section 38, clause 3, of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, held regarding the claims of Mr B. Gopaliengar to rights to minerals in the village of Hariharapura Sarvamanya Krishnarajapet Taluk, Mysore District.

Decision

Original Sannud this day examined. It is dated 2nd October 1826 issued by His Highness the late Maharajah. The Sannud distinctly confers mineral rights which are hereby conceded.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

15th August 1899

Compd
JG

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 25th August 1899

"Copy"

J. P. Grant
Supt of Inam Settlts

1616 1899-1900

From The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Section
No
Serial No

Dated 12th
Despatched 13th } September 1899
Received

Enclosure No. One

No. 23. of 1899-1900.

In continuation of this office docket No 17 dated 25th ultimo, has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of decision passed by the undersigned regarding the claim preferred by Ram Row jahagirdar of Mahadevapura and 7 other villages, in the Srirangapatna and Malavalli Taluks, for mineral rights.

Supt of Inam Setts

12
13.9.99

No 11 of 1899-1900. Encl to No 21

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

In the Court of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

This is an application, under Section 38(3) of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, by Ram Row the jahagirdar of Mahadevpur to establish his claim to a right to minerals in the eight (8) villages noted in the margin

1 Madenhally	Srirangapatna Taluk.	
2 Margowdanhalli		
3. Mensi kyatenhalli		
4. Sechalli		
5. Hunsihalli		
6. Dodmulgod	Malavalli Taluk.	
7. Virajipur		
8. Hejjur		

The applicant was directed to attend this day and to produce original Sannud — he has been unable to put in an appearance but as Sannuds by His Highness the Late Maharajah dated 14th October 1818 and 3rd June 1822 respectively and duly authenticated by the Deputy Commissioner are to hand I see no object in delaying my decision. The above Sannuds the authenticity of which there is no reason to doubt, clearly convey the rights to minerals in

terms which Government has expressed its willingness to accept as conclusive. The Deputy Commissioner on the 17th June 1899 admitted the occurrance in the Sannad of 14th October 1818 of the terms necessary to establish claims to minerals in all the villages above mentioned excepting No. 5 (Hunschally) but as the Sannad of 3rd June 1822 which applies to Hunschally alone is identical in its concessions with the Sannad of 14th October 1818 which applies to the other 7 villages I see no reason to await the Deputy Commissioners specific opinion regarding Hunsihalli which he failed to give in the first instance evidently through oversight.

I consider the claims to mineral rights established in all the eight villages.

1st September 1899.

/Signed/ J. S. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settls.

Compd.
Inam Supt's office
Bangalore dated
2nd Sept. 1899

"Copy"

J. S. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settls.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

To.

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements.

Section
File No
Serial No

Dated. 27-9-99.

No. 2639
R 7-10-97 (R-821)

Inam Superintendent's Dockets
Nos. 17 & 25.8.99 and 23 & 12.9.99
(28)
Submitting copies of decisions
passed by him in the matter
of the claims to mineral rights
preferred by Mr. B. Gopalaingar,
Inamdar of Haribarpur
Sawamanyem village, Krishna-
rajpeté Taluk, & Rama Rao
Jaghirdar of Mallahadeva-
pura & 7 other villages in
the Seringapatam & Malavalli
Taluks.

With reference to the
above, has the honor to
request to be informed of
the circumstances under
which the claim of Mr.

B. Gopalsingar was not included in the list received with his Docket No. 66 of 14·3·1899. ~~and obtg~~ In the copy* of the decision passed in Jaghiredar Rama Rao's case, the village of Malia-devapur is not shewn as one of the 8 villages belonging to the Jaghire, & ~~also~~ in the list* above ~~received with his docket No. 66 of 14·3·99~~ the village of Hunsihalli is not entered. It is presumed that in the copy of the decision the village of Madenahally is entered by mistake for Malladevapur-

(17)

*(Herewith returned for perusal)

2. The Supdt of Inam Settle-ments is requested to State whether any more claims to mineral rights are ~~have been preferred~~* pending disposal.

"By Order"

For Secretary

(31)

Gl. No. 2360
OCT. 01 1899
R. No. 1245
1899-1900

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalor

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments.

Section
File No
Serial No

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th } October 1899
Received
No. 30 of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. One

In reply to his docket No. 2639 / R D 100-97 dated 27th ultimo, has the honor to state as follows.

1. The village of Mahadevapura, also called Madenahally has duly been entered, as one of the 8 villages, in the judgement enclosed in the docket under reply.

2 The reason for not having included the village of Hunsehalli in the list sent with this office docket No. 66 dated 14th March 1899, has clearly been shewn in the enclosed decision to which attention is invited.

When the list was prepared no claims had been put forward to mineral rights in Hunsihalli.

3. With regard to the claim for mineral rights in the Haribar pura village, Mr. Gopaliengar's 2nd application was received only on the 27th June 1899, consequently it was not possible to enter this village in the list of 14th March 1899 alluded to in para 2 above. The first application of 1891 from Mr. B. Gopaliengar was not forthcoming in the office record.

4. No more claims to mineral rights are pending disposal in this office.

5. The enclosure of the docket under reply is herewith returned.

To

H. Grant

Supt. of Inam Settls

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 6879
APR 21 1900
3666
OF TANKS OFFICE

1899-1900

In 24
Docket No. 23
15th Sept. 1900

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments

Section
File No
Serial No

Dated 19th
Camp Kadachamanahalli
Despatched 21st April 1900
Received
No. 77 of 1899-1900.

Enclosure No. One.

In continuation of this office docket No. 23 dated 12th September 1899, has the honour to forward herewith, a copy of decision passed by the undersigned regarding the claim preferred by Bhimachar son of Krishnachar joridar of Vadandahalli village, Bowringpett Taluk, for Mineral Rights.

J. Stuart
Supt. of Inam Settlts

In R

Rob
SS
R
21.4.00

No 12 of 1899-1900

In the Court of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

This is a claim by Bheemachar Son of Krishnacha jodidar of Vadoundahally, Bowringpet Taluk to Minera Rights in the said village.

The record was sent to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in accordance with para 3 of Section 38 of the Land Revenue Code with Deputy Commissioner's letter General No 2768 No 2187 dated 20th February 1900.

The opinion of the Deputy Commissioner was that the claim to the Rights to Minerals set up by the deponents father was covered by the terms of the Sanad issued by Balaji Baji Rao Pradhan.

On the 17th March 1900 Bheemachar appeared before me and produced Balaji Baji Rao Pradhans Sanad Supported farther by order of the Houzdar Ling Raj Urs practically confirming Balaji Baji Raos grant given in the Arabic Era 1161. Balaji Baji Raos Sanad distinctly grants Mineral Rights in the usual terms declared sufficient by the Mysore Government and as the order of the Houzdar dated 1816 in no way withdraws Balaji Baji Raos concession, I consider the claim established and hereby decide that Mineral Rights belong to the owner of Vadaundahalli.

17th March 1900

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt of Inam Settls

"Copy"

Dated 19th April
Camp

(33)

To

The Supdt of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Section
File No
Serial No

Dated 28/4/00
28

No 7733
R 7-100—97

Docket no. 77 dated 21st April/00 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements forwarding a copy of decision passed by him in the matter of the claim to mineral rights preferred by Bhimachar Son of Krishnachar Goldsmith of Vadandahalli village, Bowringpett Taluk—

Referring to the above, has the honor to invite the attention of the Supdt of Inam Settlements to para 4 of his docket no. 30 of 6.10.1899 (31) stating that no more claims to mineral rights ~~were~~ are pending disposal in his office and to enquire

when Blennacher preferred
his claim, & whether it was
within the time allowed in
~~Proviso~~ (3) of Section 38 &
the Land Rev: Code, viz 1st
April 1894. ~~and~~ why
it was pending with the
Dy Commr- till 20th Feb: 1900
on which date the Connected
records appear to have been
sent by him to the Supdt &
Cham Settlements.

With a view & be
furnished with in-
formation on these
points

2. ~~It is requested that the~~ As the honor to request
records of the case may ~~also~~
be forwarded for reference.

By Order

27/2/00

For Secretary

Mount Night
Case when
called for
Q
20/5/00

Section
File No
Serial No

7467 1899-1900
Q. 3994
34

From
The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments
camp
Bangalore

Dated 9th
Despatched 11th } May 1900
Received

No. 83 of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. One file

His docket No 7733 dated 28th ultimo
R. Dis. 100-97

As requested in the above, has the honour to forward herewith, the entire records of the case in the matter of the claim to the Mineral rights preferred by Bhimachar, jodidar of Vadandahalli village, Bowringpet Taluk.

Supt. of Inam Settlements

M. R.

Copy of Government Order called for
The record under case
Bell Wby the has
been omitted in
list received
in 1899 & no letter
the claim has
been enquired in
Letter 33 in Sec 3 of R-5 100-97
Q
20/5/00.

2
11.5.00

Subd.
Refer mutg.
W. 16/5/00

Secretary
Mr. Shan Note